
Phase 1: Each group submitted a set of 5 documents per topic to be used as relevance feedback input in Phase 2 by 3 to 5 groups. One or two sets submitted. Evaluation output includes number of relevant documents in set, and how well other groups did on this set compared to the other sets that that group ran (each group ran 7 to 8 Phase 1 sets). Comparison numbers totaled among the collection and evaluation measures used in Phase 2. Total score = B / (B + W) where B is the total number of runs/measures this set did better than, and W is the number this set did worse on.


Phase 1 Summary Statistics					
RF Input Set	hit2.1				
Total Num Rel in Set	80				
Measure	Coll	Num Worse Than	Num Better Than		
MAP(all)	Full	0	0		
P(10)(all)	Full	0	0		
statMAP (NEU) (all)	В	18	3		
eMAP (UMass) (all)	В	11	10		
Measure			Score		
Score (all)			0.3095		
Score (average over q)			0.4294		



Score Per Topic Diff from Median, hit2.1

Phase 1: Each group submitted a set of 5 documents per topic to be used as relevance feedback input in Phase 2 by 3 to 5 groups. One or two sets submitted. Evaluation output includes number of relevant documents in set, and how well other groups did on this set compared to the other sets that that group ran (each group ran 7 to 8 Phase 1 sets). Comparison numbers totaled among the collection and evaluation measures used in Phase 2. Total score = B / (B + W) where B is the total number of runs/measures this set did better than, and W is the number this set did worse on.

Phase 1 Summary Statistics					
RF Input Set	hit2.2				
Total Num Rel in Set	71				
Measure	Coll	Num Worse Than	Num Better Than		
MAP(all)	Full	9	5		
P(10)(all)	Full	10	4		
statMAP (NEU) (all)	В	2	5		
eMAP (UMass) (all)	В	2	5		
Measure			Score		
Score (all)			0.4524		
Score (average over q)			0.4928		





Score Per Topic Diff from Median, hit2.2


Phase 2: Each group ran with 7 to 8 different relevance feedback input documents, and ran a base case with no relevance feedback. Evaluated with two measures. If the group ran on the full collection, the measures were MAP and P(10). If the group ran on the B subset, the measures were statAP and eMAP (Million Query style evaluation).

In the Per topic Median Difference graphs, the median used is the global median measure (over all Phase 1 sets and base case) for each topic. Thus it remains constant between graphs.

Phase 2 Run Summary Statistics				
Document Collection: B (English1 Subset)				
Run ID	statAP	eMAP		
hit2.base	0.0000	0.0000		
hit2.hit2.1	0.1807	0.0444		
hit2.hit2.2	0.1845	0.0445		







statAP Per Topic Median Diff, hit2.hit2.2